DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Environmental Assessment

PERMITTING AND COMPLIANCE DIVISION

Water Protection Bureau

Name of Project: The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) will reissue the Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MPDES) permit for the Glendive wastewater treatment facility for a five-year cycle.

Type of Project: The DEQ proposes to renew the MPDES permit for the wastewater treatment facility that serves the City of Glendive. Domestic wastewater is treated in a large three-cell facultative lagoon covering approximately 75 acres. Treated wastewater effluent is discharged to Glendive Creek.

Location of Project: 120 Road 303

City/Town: Glendive

County: Dawson

Description of Project: MPDES permit renewal.

Agency Action and Applicable Regulations: The proposed action is to renew the MPDES permit for another five-year cycle.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 2 - Water Quality Permit Application and Annual Fees.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 5 - Mixing Zones in Surface and Ground Water.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 6 - Surface Water Quality Standards.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 7 - Nondegradation of Water Quality.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 12 and 13 - Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Standards.

Montana Water Quality Act, MCA 75-5-101 et. seq.

Summary of Issues: E. coli and total residual chlorine will be added to the effluent limits. Ammonia limits are calculated in the Fact Sheet but not included in the permit because the facility is unable to meet these limits. A compliance schedule to evaluate treatment options to achieve ammonia limits in the next permit cycle is included in the permit.

Benefits and Purpose of Action: The permit will ensure compliance with the Montana Water Quality Act and protect beneficial uses of Glendive Creek.

Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project:

Y = Impacts may occur (explain under Potential Impacts). Include frequency, duration (long or short term), magnitude, and context for any significant impacts identified. Reference other permit analyses when appropriate (ex: statement of basis). Address significant impacts related to substantive issues and concerns. Identify reasonable feasible mitigation measures (before and

after) where significant impacts cannot be avoided and note any irreversible or irretrievable impacts. Include background information on affected environment if necessary to discussion.

N = Not present or No Impact will likely occur. *Use negative declarations where appropriate* (wetlands, T&E, Cultural Resources).

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY,	[N]	
STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are soils present		
which are fragile, erosive, susceptible to		
compaction, or unstable? Are there unusual or		
unstable geologic features? Are there special		
reclamation considerations?		
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND	[N] Effluent limits will improve discharge quality and beneficial uses	
DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or	(Also see Summary of Issues on page 1).	
groundwater resources present? Is there potential		
for violation of ambient water quality standards,		
drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or		
degradation of water quality?		
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate	[N]	
be produced? Is the project influenced by air		
quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?		
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND	[N]	
QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be		
significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or		
cover types present?		
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC	[N]	
LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use		
of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?		
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR	[N]	
LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:		
Are any federally listed threatened or endangered		
species or identified habitat present? Any		
wetlands? Species of special concern?		
7. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL	[N]	
SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or		
paleontological resources present?		
8. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent	[N]	
topographic feature? Will it be visible from		
populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive		
noise or light?		
9. LAND USE: (waste disposal, agricultural lands	[N]	
[grazing, cropland, forest lands, prime farmland],		
recreational lands [waterways, parks, playgrounds,		
open space, federal lands), access, commercial		
and industrial facilities [production & activity,		
growth or decline], growth, land-use change,		
development activity)	D.T.	
10. IMPACTS ON OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL	[N]	
RESOURCES: Are there other activities nearby		
that will affect the project?		

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
11. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this	[N]Additional effluent limits will protect public health.	
project add to health and safety risks in the area?		
12. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND	[N]	
AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND		
PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter		
these activities?		
13. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N]	
EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move or		
eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.		
14. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX	[N]	
REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate		
tax revenue?		
15. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:	[N]	
Will substantial traffic be added to existing roads?		
Will other services (fire protection, police, schools,		
etc.) be needed?		
16. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL	[N]	
PLANS AND GOALS: Are there State, County,		
City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or		
management plans in effect?		
17. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF	[N]	
RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS		
ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational areas		
nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there		
recreational potential within the tract?		
18. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N]	
POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the project		
add to the population and require additional		
housing?		
19. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is	[N]	
some disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or		
communities possible?		
20. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND	[N]	
DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in some		
unique quality of the area?	DVI	
21. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND	[N]	
ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:	[N]	
22(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Are we	[N]	
regulating the use of private property under a		
regulatory statute adopted pursuant to the police		
power of the state? (Property management, grants of financial assistance, and the exercise of the		
power of eminent domain are not within this		
category.) If not, no further analysis is required.		
22(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Is the	[N]	
agency proposing to deny the application or		
condition the approval in a way that restricts the		
use of the regulated person's private property? If		
not, no further analysis is required.		
not, no further analysis is required.		

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT			
	RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
, ,	RIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: If the	[N]	
	o 21(b) is affirmative, does the agency al discretion to impose or not impose the		
_	d restriction or discretion as to how the		
	on will be imposed? If not, no further		
analysis	is required. If so, the agency must		
	ne if there are alternatives that would		
	minimize or eliminate the restriction on the		
	rivate property, and analyze such ves. The agency must disclose the		
	l costs of identified restrictions.		
23.24.	Description of and Impacts of othe Summary of Magnitude and Signi		
25.	Cumulative Effects: None		
26.		Rationale: The preferred action is to reissue the MPDES permit permit program provides the regulatory mechanism for g the terms of the MPDES permit.	
	Recommendation for Further Env	rironmental Analysis:	
	[] EIS [] More Detailed EA	[x] No Further Analysis	
	Policy Act (MEPA) because the projection	An EIS is not required under the Montana Environmental ect lacks significant adverse effects to the human and physical ed effects to the physical and human environment will be et implementation.	
27.	Public Involvement: A 30-day publ	lic comment period will be held.	
28.	Persons and agencies consulted in	the preparation of this analysis: None	
EA Ch	ecklist Prepared By:		
-	pared by: John Wadhams une 2007		
Appro	ved by:		
	Bonnie Lovelace, Chief	Date	
	Water Protection Bureau		